# **Application of Zinc-Silver Impregnated Activated Carbons in Removal of Lead(II) and Mercury(II) Compounds from Groundwater**

KWAK Anna<sup>1,a</sup>, POSZWALD Bartosz<sup>1,b</sup>, DYSZ Karolina<sup>1,c</sup> and DYLONG Agnieszka<sup>1,d\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Military Institute of Engineer Technology, 136 Obornicka Str., 50-961 Wroclaw, Poland <sup>a</sup> kwak@witi.wroc.pl, <sup>b</sup> poszwald@witi.wroc.pl, <sup>c</sup> dysz@witi.wroc.pl, <sup>d</sup> dylong@witi.wroc.pl

**Keywords:** Activated Carbon, Impregnation, Water Purification, Adsorbents, Metal-Impregnated Carbonr

**Abstract.** Nowadays activated carbon is a material generating great interest, as it is characterized by a vast surface area due to a high number of pores in its structure. Therefore, the main purpose behind its use is the filtration of impurities from air and water that can be adsorbed with high efficiency. Activated carbon can be easily modified as well. The paper describes activated carbon modification with copper-, manganese-, silver- and zinc salts. The effects of the selected impregnates and their concentrations were examined. The products included 5 adsorbent samples: four universal adsorbents, impregnated with all the above-mentioned salts, and one specific adsorbent sample, designed to adsorb lead(II) and mercury(II) ions and impregnated with zincand silver salts only. The premise was to obtain pure drinking water. Properties, such as bulk density, methylene blue number or iodine number were determined for the modified activated carbons. To test the efficiency of an improved adsorbent, an experiment with water highly contaminated with Pb(II) and Hg(II) was carried out, and its results revealed that absorption efficiency for these heavy metals exceeded 99.9%. The adsorber samples were also observed under a digital microscope to compare their appearance.

#### **Introduction**

Activated Carbon (AC), thanks to its vast surface area, is a perfect catalyst carrier (support). Even though ACs impregnated with different compounds change their pore structure, such alteration also allows scientists to obtain a material which can serve as a chemisorbent for specific toxic substances [1]. Many compounds can be used for the impregnation process, in particular copper-, chromium-, silver-, potassium-, sodium-, zinc-, cobalt-, manganese-, vanadium-, molybdenumand iron salts or some organic compounds e.g. pyridine and aromatic amines [2].

Impregnation process parameters are of key importance; even slight changes to the technological regime may cause a reduction in adsorption capacity by 20-30% and could result in completely different adsorbent properties [3]. Increased efficiency and selectivity in the removal of toxic substances is the effect of physical adsorption and chemical adsorption of substances at the AC's surface or catalytic reactions with the impregnation compounds [4]. One of the most important carbon carriers include Metal-Impregnated Activated Carbons (MIAC). MIACs impregnated with copper, chromium and silver are called copper-chromium-silver (Cu/Cr/Ag) impregnated carbons. They deserve special attention due to their purification performance tested on air samples containing compounds, such as cyanogen chloride, hydrogen cyanide and arsines [5].

Current legal regulations are very restrictive in terms of heavy metal contamination, including mercury contamination of ground- and drinking water, as mercury and its compounds are highlytoxic substances and even in low concentration have a marked detrimental impact on the health and life of living organisms. The contaminants most commonly found in environmental samples

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under license by Materials Research Forum LLC.

include metallic mercury, methylmercury and phenyl mercury derivatives. It is believed that the paper industry, chemical industry, batteries production and agriculture are the main culprits and main sources of Hg contamination of the natural environment [6-8].

The efficiency of adsorptive removal of Hg(II) ions is largely dependent on the type of AC and activation process applied. Steam-activated ACs obtained from wood, coconut shells and coal show great capacity to adsorb mercury(II) ions from pH<5 solutions. It has been also discovered that the pH of the solution affects the amount of  $Hg(II)$  adsorbed by AC, where a general rule is the lower the pH, the greater the absorption rate observed [9]. The necessity of protecting waters from these contaminants was recognized a long time ago; the first World Health Organization's Standard for drinking water was published in 1958 [10]. It describes the exact maximum allowable concentration of lead in water, which was 0.1 mg/L, but it only briefly mentions mercury as a health threat. Back then, the issue was no sufficient detection methods. The original WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality 3<sup>rd</sup> edition from 2008 [11] states mercury's acceptable concentration for 0.006 mg/L. Currently, according to WHO guidelines, the allowable concentration of mercury has been maintained, however, the allowable lead concentration has been reduced 10 times – to 0.001 mg/L  $[12]$ . Whilst considering the needs in the field of groundwater purification (the goal of which is to obtain drinking water of proper parameters in line with the current regulations), as well as efficiency in removing lead and mercury ions and innovative character of zinc-impregnated adsorbents, new production technology has been developed by the authors. The final products include two adsorbents: a universal (Cr-Cu-Ag-Zn) one and a silverzinc-impregnated one.

#### **Experimental Procedure**

**Materials and sample preparation.** *DT0* commercially-available activated carbon (produced by Gryfskand Sp. z o.o. company in Poland) was used in this experiment. It was first being oxidized in 20% nitric acid solution under periodical stirring for 24 hours [13]. Then AC was drained off and washed with deionized water until all the acid was removed. Afterwards, a washed activated carbon was kept a room temperature for 24h. At the end of the preparation process, the product was dried in a fluid bed dryer at 120°C for 20 minutes. The obtained adsorbents were divided into two groups:

- universal adsorbents (UA), containing zinc, copper, manganese, silver, and
- adsorbents containing zinc and silver (S-Hg/Pb) only.

The following metallic catalysts have been selected to produce UA:

- basic copper carbonate (Cu2CO3(OH)2) in aqua ammonia;
- potassium permanganate (KMnO4) in aqueous solution;
- silver nitrate (AgNO3) in aqueous solution;
- zinc nitrate (ZnNO3) in an aqueous solution.

After all the solutions were poured into one, the resultant mixture was poured into a beaker containing dried AC and stirred for a few minutes. The mixture was left over for 2 allowing the salts to precipitate. The next step was crucial for obtaining the desired properties of the MIACs: impregnated carbon was dried for 6 hours in total  $-4$  hours at the temperature of 120 $^{\circ}$ C and 2 hours at 180°C. Copper was deposited on the carbon surface as a copper monoxide (CuO). CuO was obtained by heating a mixture of basic copper carbonate and ammonium carbonate diluted in an ammonia solution (25 wt%). First, a complex ion of hexaaminecopper(II) ion is formed [14]. Then, by its thermal decomposition, copper monoxide, carbon dioxide and gaseous ammonia are produced. CuO is adsorbed in ACs pores and the AC is thusly becoming a chemisorbent or a catalyst for the decomposition of toxic substances. A similar process can be described for potassium permanganate. However, it does not include any complex compounds, and permanganate ions are reduced to manganese dioxide particles [15]. This compound is a chemisorbent and catalyst for the decomposition of toxic substances as well. Silver and copper can also be deposited on activated carbon's surface. First, a diamine silver(I) carbonate complex is formed [16], and afterwards, as a result of thermal decomposition, metallic silver particles, silver(I) oxide, carbon dioxide and ammonia are obtained. The concentrations of each metal ion are shown in the table (Table 1).

The last MIAC (S-Hg/Pb) was specially designated to adsorb lead and mercury only. The key factor behind this process is the presence of zinc ions at the carbon surface, as they are known for their substantial heavy metal absorption properties [17]. Silver is added to obtain antibacterial traits [18] because this adsorbent is to be used to obtain drinking water from the surface and ground waters. Oxidized and dried activated carbon was impregnated with an aqueous solution of:

 $-$  silver nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>),

 $-$  zinc nitrate  $(ZnNO_3)$ .

Those metals were deposited on the surface of the AC, as was previously mentioned in this chapter. The adsorbent obtained, S-Hg/Pb, was heated for 20 minutes in a fluid bed dryer, at the temperature of 120°C and then for another 2 hours at 350°C in a laboratory oven, with no air permitted. Finally, the sample was allowed to cool down. S-Hg/Pb contained 2.0% zinc (w/w) and 1.0% silver (w/w).

No.	Adsorbent	Copper [% w/w]	Manganese [% w/w]	Silver [% w/w]	Zinc [% w/w]
1.	$SU-1$	3.0	1.2	0.5	0.5
2.	$SU-2$	3.0	1.2	0.5	1.0
3.	$SU-3$	3.0	1.2		0.5
4.	$SU-4$	3.0	1.2	0.5	2.0

*Table 1. Concentrations of metal ions in MIACs* 

**Sample characterization.** The parameters of obtained adsorbents were characterized by spectrophotometric-, titration- and potentiometric methods. Both the universal adsorbents produced and S-Hg/Pb adsorbed metal ions on their surface, which was corroborated by the methylene blue (MBN) and iodine (IN) numbers study. The two are strictly related to the AC's micro and macropore volume − the greater the volume, the higher the MBN and IN. When these two number decreases, it means that the impregnation salts, or their derivatives, are bound on the surface of carbon grains. The authors experimented according to the Polish Defense Standard [19] which included titration being used to determine IN for all the MIACs samples, as well spectrophotometric measurement of MBN. To find out if there some visual changes on the surface of the impregnated carbons can be seen, the Keyence series VHX-7000 microscope with VH-ZST dual objective zoom lens was used. The authors presumed that the addition of zinc should increase the efficiency of mercury- and lead adsorption capacity, and to corroborate this hypothesis they conducted the required tests. Amount of 1 gram of each SU and S-Hg/Pb were added to two aqueous solutions, one containing lead and one containing mercury, and then they were stirred for 30 minutes. Final concentrations of the tested heavy metals were tested with inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES) using the Thermo Scientific iCAP 7000 series spectrometer. Investigations were carried out with the following spectrometry parameters: lead wavelength: 283.306 nm; mercury wavelength: 253.652 nm or 184.950 nm using the cold vapor method with hydride generation system in case of the latter element.

#### **Results and Discussion**

In the beginning, the appearance of *DT0* and S-Hg/Pb was analyzed and the authors found that at the modified adsorbent grain there appeared clearly visible metallic silver aggregates (Fig. 1) that were too large to be deposited inside the pores. Selected parameters of every adsorbent were characterized and compiled in the table (Table 2.). Universal adsorbents' methylene blue number is 20-30% smaller than the MBN of unimpregnated *DT0* signifying that salts used for impregnation, or their derivatives, were deposited in mesopores and greater micropores of AC [20]. SU's iodine number is about 8-13% smaller than IN of plain activated carbon. As iodine is mainly kept in micropores, the lower number points out to the fact that zinc, manganese, copper and silver oxides were deposited in micropores as well [21].



*Fig. 1. The appearance of DT0 and S-Hg/Pb MIACs under a microscope*







The main goal of this study was to obtain improved properties of activated carbon in terms of lead and mercury adsorption capacity. The test results are shown in Table 3. Even though universal adsorbents are effective adsorbents of heavy metals but not enough to qualify filtered water as drinking water. The most efficient AC proved to be SU-4; it removed almost 80% of lead(II) ions and nearly 90% of mercury(II) ions from water, while other adsorbents adsorbed 58-82% of these elements. Among all the SUs, SU-4 was impregnated with the highest amount of zinc salt, which had been proven to be to be a good heavy metal binder. Thus, a specially designed MIAC – S-Hg/Pb, containing zinc and silver only, removes lead with more than 99.9% efficiency, while in the case of mercury, it is 99.999% efficient.

	Concentration $[mg/l]$							
Adsorbent	Lead			Mercury				
	<b>Before</b> adsorption	After adsorption	Percentage removed	<b>Before</b> adsorption	After adsorption	Percentage removed		
$SU-1$		0.32	68%		0.21	79%		
$SU-2$		0.42	58%		0.18	82%		
$SU-3$		0.38	62%		0.22	78%		
$SU-4$		0.22	78%		0.11	89%		
S-Hg/Pb		< 0.1	99.9%		0.001	99.999%		

*Table 3. Obtained test results.*

### **Conclusions**

In the present study activated carbon was modified with copper-, manganese-, silver- and zinc salts. The effects of selected impregnates and their concentrations were examined. Methylene blue number and iodine number were used to determine if the impregnation process was efficacious or not. The results showed a decrease of MBN and IN by 20-30% and 8-13%, respectively, which means that the process was successfully accomplished and the salts or their derivatives were deposited in AC's pores. There are two more proofs that the deposition occurred; the first of which included the microscopic image o S-Hg/Pb with visible silver aggregates, while the second is the change of bulk density that was caused by the embedding of elements heavier than carbon. The testing of the efficiency of lead(II) and mercury(II) adsorption was done by the comparison of the measurements of SUs and S-Hg/Pb filtrate samples concentrations with ICP OES. While universal adsorbent showed good absorption performance, when heavy metals were concerned, the results for the proprietary adsorbent were excellent. The final concentration of mercury was lower than the reference for drinking water, however, the lead concentration may be higher [11]. Because ICP OES is not accurate enough, another method, e.g. GC-MS, should be used [12]. It can be said that the product designed by the authors performs well, however, further development and testing could be beneficial. Issues related to the removal of heavy metal pollutants from water are strongly linked to production quality [22-24] and management level in businesses [25]. Such pollutants are a common problem in industries that utilize metals [26-28], including special alloys [29], as well as technologies associated with surface layer modification [30] and the application of special coatings [31-33]. The creation of DLC [34, 35] and ESD [36, 37] coatings involve technologies that generate both liquid and gas pollutants, similar to welding processes [38, 39]. Similar pollutants are also formed during the operation of machinery [40], railway rolling stock [41], chemical installations [42], and the use of chemicals in civil engineering [43-45]. The purification processes need to be highly efficient, requiring optimization and careful planning [46]. These processes are multifactorial, and it is beneficial to perform dimensionality reduction [47] prior to optimizing them using statistical methods in industrial settings [48-50] to avoid detrimental correlations. It is also advantageous to utilize non-parametric methods [51-53] that employ a *data-driven* approach, as they are not limited to predefined model assumptions.

## **References**

[1] S. Choi, J.H. Drese, C.W. Jones. Adsorbent Materials for Carbon Dioxide Capture from Large Anthropogenic Point Sources, ChemSusChem 2 (2009) 796-854. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.200900036

[2] Y. Zhi, J. Liu. Surface modification of activated carbon for enhanced adsorption of perfluoroalkyl acids from aqueous solutions, Chemosphere 144 (2016) 1224-1232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.09.097

[3] M.C.A. Ferraz. Preparation of activated carbon for air pollution control, Fuel 2 (1988) 1237-1241. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-3261(88)90045-2

[4] H. Lin et al. Simultaneous reductions in antibiotics and heavy metal pollution during manure composting, Sci. Total Environ. 788 (2021) art. 147830. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147830

[5] J. Choma, M. Kloske. Otrzymywanie i właściwości impregnowanych węgli aktywnych, Ochrona Środowiska 2 (1999) 3-17.

[6] K.V.S. Prasad. Electronic waste – An emerging threat to the environment and health, Pollution Research 35 (2016) 587-593.

[7] F.A. Armah et al. Anthropogenic sources and environmentally relevant concentrations of heavy metals in surface water of a mining district in Ghana: A multivariate statistical approach, J. Environ. Sci. Health A 45 (2010) 1804-1813. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2010.513296

[8] T. Apriantiet al. Heavy metal ions adsorption from pulp and paper industry wastewater using zeolite/activated carbon-ceramic composite adsorbent, AIP Conf. Proc. 2014 (2018) art. 020127. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5054531

[9] K. Kadirvelu et al. Mercury(II) adsorption by activated carbon made sago waste, Carbon 42 (2004) 745-752. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2003.12.089

[10] World Health Organization. (1958). International standards for drinking-water. World Health Organization.

[11] World Health Organization. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 3<sup>rd</sup> edition incorporating the first and second addenda vol.1 Recommendations. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 2008

[12] World Health Organization. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization, 2011.

[13] A. Gąskiewicz-Puchalska et al. Improvement od  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake of activated carbons by treatment with mineral acids, Chem. Eng. J. 309 (2017) 159-171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.10.005

[14] L. Velasquez-Yevenes, R. Ram. The aqueous chemistry of the copper-ammonia system and its implications for sustainable recovery of copper, Cleaner Eng. Tech. 9 (2022) art. 100515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clet.2022.100515

[15] K. Okitasu et al. Sonochemical reduction of permanganate to manganese dioxide: the effects of H2O2 formed in this sonolysis of water on the rates of reduction, Ultrason. Sonochem. 16 (2009) 387-391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2008.10.009

[16] N. Shabanov et al. A Water-Soluble Ink Based on Diamine Silver(I) Carbonate Ammonium Formate, and Polyols for Inkjet Printing of Conductive Patterns, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2019 (2019) 178-182. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201801045

[17] M. Gu et al. The selective heavy metal ions adsorption of zinc oxide nanoparticles from dental wastewater, Chem. Phys. 534 (2020) art. 110750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphys.2020.110750

[18] N.K. Nasab, Z. Sabouri, S. Ghazal, M. Darroudi. Green-based synthesis of mixed-phase silver nanoparticles as an effective photocatalyst and investigation of their antibacterial properties, J. Mol. Struc. 1203 (2020) art. 127411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2019.127411

[19] NO-46-A200:2022. Wojskowe urządzenia uzdatniania wody – Materiały eksploatacyjne – wymagania i metody badań. Warszawa, Poland, Ministerstwo Obrony Narodowej, 2012.

[20] R.M. Shrestha. Effect of Preparation Parameters on Methylene blue number of Activated Carbons Prepared from a Locally Available Material, J. Inst. Eng. 12 (2017) 169-174. https://doi.org/10.3126/jie.v12i1.16900

[21] C. Saka. BET, TG-DTG, FT-IR, SEM, iodine number analysis and preparation of activated carbon from acorn shell by chemical activation with ZnCl2, J. Anal. App. Pyrolysis 95 (2012) 21-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2011.12.020

[22] M. Nowicka-Skowron, R. Ulewicz. Quality management in logistics processes in metal branch, METAL 2015 – 24<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. Metall. Mater. (2015) 1707-1712. ISBN 978-8087294628

[23] R. Ulewicz, F. Nový. Quality management systems in special processes, Transp. Res. Procedia 40 (2019) 113-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2019.07.019

[24] R. Ulewicz et al. Logistic controlling processes and quality issues in a cast iron foundry, Mater. Res. Proc. 17 (2020) 65-71. https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644901038-10

[25] A. Deja et al. The Concept of Location of Filling Stations and Services of Vehicles Carrying and Running on LNG. In: P. Ball, L. Huaccho Huatuco, R. Howlett, R. Setchi (Eds.) Sustainable Design and Manufacturing 2019. KES-SDM 2019. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, 155. Springer, Singapore, 507-520. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9271-9\_42

[26] R. Ulewicz et al. Structure and mechanical properties of fine-grained steels, Period. Polytech. Transp. Eng. 41 (2013) 111-115. https://doi.org/10.3311/PPtr.7110

[27] D. Klimecka-Tatar, M. Ingaldi. Assessment of the technological position of a selected enterprise in the metallurgical industry, Mater. Res. Proc. 17 (2020) 72-78.

https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644901038-11

[28] P. Jonšta et al. The effect of rare earth metals alloying on the internal quality of industrially produced heavy steel forgings, Materials 14 (2021) art. 5160. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14185160

[29] A. Dudek et al. The effect of alloying method on the structure and properties of sintered stainless steel, Archives of Metallurgy and Materials 62 (2017) 281-287. https://doi.org/10.1515/amm-2017-0042

[30] N. Radek et al. The influence of plasma cutting parameters on the geometric structure of cut surfaces, Mater. Res. Proc. 17 (2020) 132-137. https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644901038-20

[31] N. Radek et al. Technology and application of anti-graffiti coating systems for rolling stock, METAL 2019 28th Int. Conf. Metall. Mater. (2019) 1127-1132. ISBN 978-8087294925

[32] N. Radek et al. The effect of laser beam processing on the properties of WC-Co coatings deposited on steel. Materials 14 (2021) art. 538. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14030538

[33] N. Radek et al. Formation of coatings with technologies using concentrated energy stream, Prod. Eng. Arch. 28 (2022) 117-122. https://doi.org/10.30657/pea.2022.28.13

[34] N. Radek et al. Microstructure and tribological properties of DLC coatings, Mater. Res. Proc. 17 (2020) 171-176. https://doi.org/10.21741/9781644901038-26

[35] N. Radek et al. Influence of laser texturing on tribological properties of DLC coatings, Prod. Eng. Arch. 27 (2021) 119-123. https://doi.org/10.30657/pea.2021.27.15

[36] N. Radek, J. Konstanty. Cermet ESD coatings modified by laser treatment, Arch. Metall. Mater. 57 (2012) 665-670. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10172-012-0071-y

[37] N. Radek et al. The morphology and mechanical properties of ESD coatings before and after laser beam machining, Materials 13 (2020) art. 2331. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13102331

[38] N. Radek et al. The impact of laser welding parameters on the mechanical properties of the weld, AIP Conf. Proc. 2017 (2018) art.20025. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5056288

[39] N. Radek et al. Properties of Steel Welded with CO2 Laser, Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering (2020) 571-580. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33146-7\_65

[40] S. Blasiak et al. Rapid prototyping of pneumatic directional control valves, Polymers 13 (2021) art. 1458. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13091458

[41] N. Radek, R. Dwornicka. Fire properties of intumescent coating systems for the rolling stock, Commun. – Sci. Lett. Univ. Zilina 22 (2020) 90-96. https://doi.org/10.26552/com.C.2020.4.90-96

[42] E. Skrzypczak-Pietraszek et al. Enhanced accumulation of harpagide and 8-*O*-acetylharpagide in *Melittis melissophyllum* L. agitated shoot cultures analyzed by UPLC-MS/MS. PLoS ONE 13 (2018) art. e0202556. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202556

[43] A. Bakowski et al. Frequency analysis of urban traffic noise, ICCC 2019 20th Int. Carpathian Contr. Conf. (2019) 1660-1670. https://doi.org/10.1109/CarpathianCC.2019.8766012 [44] J.M. Djoković et al. Selection of the Optimal Window Type and Orientation for the Two

Cities in Serbia and One in Slovakia, Energies 15 (2022) art.323. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15010323

[45] Ł.J. Orman et al. Analysis of Thermal Comfort in Intelligent and Traditional Buildings, Energies 15 (2022) art.6522. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15186522

[46] L. Cedro. Model parameter on-line identification with nonlinear parametrization – manipulator model, Technical Transactions 119 (2022) art. e2022007. https://doi.org/10.37705/TechTrans/e2022007

[47] J. Pietraszek, E. Skrzypczak-Pietraszek. The uncertainty and robustness of the principal component analysis as a tool for the dimensionality reduction. Solid State Phenom. 235 (2015) 1- 8. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.235.1

[48] R. Dwornicka, J. Pietraszek. The outline of the expert system for the design of experiment, Prod. Eng. Arch. 20 (2018) 43-48. https://doi.org/10.30657/pea.2018.20.09

[49] J. Pietraszek et al. Challenges for the DOE methodology related to the introduction of Industry 4.0. Prod. Eng. Arch. 26 (2020) 190-194. https://doi.org/10.30657/pea.2020.26.33

[50] B. Jasiewicz et al. Inter-observer and intra-observer reliability in the radiographic measurements of paediatric forefoot alignment, Foot Ankle Surg. 27 (2021) 371-376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fas.2020.04.015

[51] J. Pietraszek. The modified sequential-binary approach for fuzzy operations on correlated assessments, LNAI 7894 (2013) 353-364. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38658-9\_32

[52] J. Pietraszek et al. Non-parametric assessment of the uncertainty in the analysis of the airfoil blade traces, METAL 2017 26th Int. Conf. Metall. Mater. (2017) 1412-1418. ISBN 978- 8087294796

[53] J. Pietraszek et al. The non-parametric approach to the quantification of the uncertainty in the design of experiments modelling, UNCECOMP 2017 Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Uncert. Quant. Comput. Sci. Eng. (2017) 598-604. https://doi.org/10.7712/120217.5395.17225